≝**FEBS** Journal

STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW



'Inside Out'– a dialogue between mitochondria and bacteria

Bing Han^{1,2}, Chih-Chun Janet Lin^{2,3,4}, Guo Hu^{2,3} and Meng C. Wang^{2,3,5}

1 Children's Hospital, Fudan University, Minhang, Shanghai, China

2 Huffington Center on Aging, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

3 Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

4 Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

5 Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Houston, TX, USA

Keywords

longevity; metabolism; metabolites; microbiome; mitochondria

Correspondence

M. C. Wang, Huffington Center on Aging, Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza MS: BCM230, Houston, TX 77030, USA Fax: +1 713-798-4161 Tel: +1 713-798-1566 E-mail: wmeng@bcm.edu

(Received 15 June 2018, revised 5 October 2018, accepted 2 November 2018)

doi:10.1111/febs.14692

Introduction

As described by the predominant endosymbiotic theory, the origin of intracellular organelles, mitochondria, can be traced back to bacterial cells that accidentally form a symbiotic relationship with some methanogenic archaea billions of years ago [1–3]. While relying on carbon organics provided by the host cells, the proto-mitochondria also conducted respiration to pay back with considerably more energy, leading to an enormous evolutionary advantage and eventually gave rise to the present thriving kingdom of eukaryotes. Numerous comparative molecular studies have demonstrated that mitochondria evolved from Rickettsiales bacteria [4–9] (Fig. 1). In addition to this obligate endosymbiosis, eukaryotes possess their

Mitochondria play crucial roles in regulating metabolism and longevity. A body of recent evidences reveals that the gut microbiome can also exert significant effects on these activities in the host. Here, by summarizing the currently known mechanisms underlying these regulations, and by comparing mitochondrial fission–fusion dynamics with bacterial interactions such as quorum sensing, we hypothesize that the microbiome impacts the host by communicating with their intracellular relatives, mitochondria. We highlight recent discoveries supporting this model, and these new findings reveal that metabolite molecules derived from bacteria can fine-tune mitochondrial dynamics in intestinal cells and hence influence host metabolic fitness and longevity. This perspective mode of chemical communication between bacteria and mitochondria may help us understand complex and dynamic environment–microbiome–host interactions regarding their vital impacts on health and diseases.

> 'external symbionts' – hundreds of bacterial species that colonize body surfaces and cavities [10]. The gut microbiome inhabiting the digestive tract is a good reprehensive of these symbionts, which shows stable composition over time in healthy adults [11] and exerts a substantial impact on the host physiology and pathology [12].

> In particular, mitochondria and the gut microbiome both play crucial roles in regulating host metabolism and longevity. Mitochondria and bacteria also share commonalities in terms of intercommunications. Our recent findings further reveal chemical communications between bacteria and host mitochondria, and the specific involvement of these communications in the

Abbreviations

³⁰C12, *N*-(3-oxo-dodecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone; AHL, *N*-acyl homoserine lactone; Al-2, autoinducer 2; DRP1, dynamin-related protein 1; MFN, mitofusin; UPR^{mt}, mitochondrial unfolded protein response.

control of metabolic and aging processes. Inspired by these findings, we tentatively propose the hypothesis that mitochondria still possess the remnant abilities of communicating with extracellular bacteria, and the impacts of symbiotic bacteria on the host act largely through modulating mitochondrial activities. We also describe the merits of using the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* as a model system in deciphering this microbiome-mitochondria communication.

Mitochondria with bacterial origin signal to the nucleus

The endosymbiotic relationship between mitochondria and eukaryotic cells have been continuously strengthened by evolutionary pressure, resulting in an extensive gene transfer between the two genomes [13,14]. Now in eukaryotic cells, a vast majority of mitochondrial proteins are encoded in the nucleus and transported into mitochondria for maturation following cytoplasmic translation. Mitochondria coordinate many vital metabolic functions such as fatty acid oxidation and oxidative phosphorylation, and serve as the powerhouse to carry out ATP production. Interestmetabolic functions ingly, these are also



Fig. 1. The cladogram of bacteria showing the origin of mitochondria. This phylogenetic relationship among representative genera of clinical or ecological significance is inferred from previous comparative molecular studies [8,9], with mitochondria highlighted in red. Green color shows chloroplasts, result of another endosymbiosis event from some cyanobacteria. Side bars label the division between Gram negative and positive taxa.

associated with the signaling role of mitochondria in the control of nuclear activities. Retrograde signals from mitochondria act through various transcriptional and epigenetic factors to actively modulate gene expression in the nucleus, such as alpha-ketoglutarate metabolites, reactive oxygen species (ROS), and mitochondrial unfolded protein responses (UPR^{mt}) [15] (Fig. 2). In particular, UPR^{mt} senses the perturbation of the protein-folding environment in mitochondria, and directs the translocation of transcription factors into the nucleus to activate expressions of specific chaperones and proteases [16–18]. This UPR^{mt} signaling process helps restore organelle functionality of mitochondria under different stress conditions [19,20], and consequently plays crucial roles in the regulation of organismal longevity [21,22].

Mitochondrial dynamics regulates metabolism and longevity

Mitochondria are highly dynamic organelles. Although generally depicted as discrete organelles, multiple mitochondria frequently interconnect with each other, forming a large intracellular reticulum and mixing their membrane, matrix, and nucleoid contents [23-25]. At the same time, mitochondria divide constantly to facilitate organelle degradation and recycling [26,27]. A number of guanosine triphosphate hydrolases mediate mitochondrial dynamics: Mitofusin (Mfn1 and Mfn2) dimeric complexes and optic atrophy protein 1 mediate the connection between adjacent mitochondria to facilitate fusion [28,29]; while dynamin-related protein 1 (DRP1) forms ring-like structures to constrict mitochondria where the fission of organelles occurs [30,31]. The matter and information exchange resulting from mitochondrial fusion helps alleviate negative influences from impaired individual organelles [32,33]. On the other hand, selective degradation of damaged mitochondria through mitophagy requires mitochondrial fission [34-37]. Overall, these fusion and fission events keep mitochondria in a dynamic balance and ensure their quality and quantity controls, which are crucial for maintaining a healthy functional mitochondrial network.

Mitochondrial fission-fusion dynamics is tightly linked to mitochondrial bioenergetic functions and metabolic health of cells and organisms [38]. Generally, mitochondrial fusion can greatly increase the efficiency of ATP synthesis [39]. In cultured cells, nutrient withdrawal has been found to promote mitochondrial elongation through inhibiting mitochondrial fission by protein kinase A-mediated DRP1 phosphorylation [39,40] or through facilitating mitochondrial fusion by



Fig. 2. Molecular communications between bacteria and mitochondria are vital for symbiotic bacteria to regulate metabolism and longevity in the eukaryotic host. Bacteria communicate with each other through biofilm formation and quorum sensing that can be mediated by specific metabolites, such as AHLs, AI-2(furanosyl borate diester), oligopeptides, and Y-butyrolactones. On the other hand, mitochondria undergo organellar fission and fusion and communicate through these dynamic processes. Interestingly, new discoveries reveal that mitochondrial fission–fusion dynamics in the eukaryotic host cell can be regulated by chemical signals from symbiotic bacteria in the form of colanic acid and methyl metabolites. These metabolite-mediated cross-kingdom communications are crucial for host metabolism and longevity.

MFN1 deacetylation [41]. This starvation-induced mitochondrial elongation increases ATP synthesis capacity and efficiency, which sustains the energy demand required under nutrient-limited environments [39]. In contrast, excess nutrients can lead to mito-chondrial fragmentation. For example, glucose overload promotes mitochondrial fragmentation in a DRP1-dependent manner [42], and animals feeding on a high-fat diet display reduced levels of MFN2 and enhanced mitochondrial fragmentation [43–46]. Thus, mitochondrial dynamics can be actively influenced by environmental signals and coupled with cellular metabolic status [44,47].

Mitochondrial dynamics is also closely associated with the aging process [48–50]. With senescence, mitochondrial dynamics tends to shift toward fission more than fusion in most of the tissues [50–52], which is likely due to cumulated damages in mitochondria. The fragmentation of mitochondrial network facilitates mitophagy as a protective mechanism [53,54]. However, with advancing age, mitochondrial biogenesis becomes less effective [55], and the ability to maintain the plasticity of fission–fusion dynamics declines. As a result, superfused and swollen mitochondrial morphology is often detected [56–60], which is proposed to compensate for both quality and quantity decreases [48].

Interestingly, manipulation of mitochondrial dynamics is sufficient to modulate both glucose and lipid metabolic homeostasis systemically, and also influences organism longevity. For example, mitochondrial fragmentation driven by MFN2 deletion in muscle and hepatic cells disturbs glucose homeostasis and leads to obesity in aged animals [61]. In contrast, impairment of mitochondrial fission by DRP1 deletion in liver protects animals from high-fat diet-induced obesity and metabolic disorders [62]. On the other hand, alterations in mitochondrial electron transport chain activities modulate lifespan and healthspan in a variety of organisms, through interplaying with diverse longevity regulatory mechanisms such as insulin and mammalian target of rapamycin signaling, dietary restriction, and autophagy [63-67]. In C. elegans, dietary-restricted, AMP-activated, protein kinase-mediated longevity is associated with alterations in mitochondrial fission-fusion dynamics and consequent changes in peroxisome activities [52,68]. It is also shown that mitochondrial fusion is essential for the lifespan extension conferred by reduced insulin/insulin-like growth factor-1 signaling [69]. More recently, studies in C. elegans and Drosophila melanogaster further discover that a mild induction of mitochondrial fission specifically in intestinal cells is sufficient to promote organism longevity systemically [70,71]. Therefore, mitochondria communicate through their fission-fusion dynamics, which safeguards the homeostasis of these essential cellular organelles and plays a pivotal role in the control of metabolic health and longevity [72].

Bacteria live in a community

The community of bacteria is highly dynamic and interactive. Although conventionally considered unicellular and isolated, bacteria do communicate and cooperate with each other, resembling those cells in multicellular organisms. One typical example in point is myxobacteria. To survive harsh environments, multiple myxobacterial cells can aggregate to form 'swarms' by contact-mediated signaling, for the sake of better moving, feeding, and reproducing [73]. In fact, the interactions between bacterial cells are not limited to a single taxonomical group, as evidenced by formation of biofilms ubiquitously found on our planet, either on abiotic surfaces or in the animal gut. A great variety of bacteria secrete a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances that helps adhesion and links cells together to form a colonial group [74], a process typically triggered by unfavorable environmental factors such as antibiotics [75]. Once embedded in this complex biofilm structure, bacterial cells undergo a lot of behavioral changes, differentially regulate many genes, and frequently exchange their genetic materials [76,77]. As a result, biofilms provide not only protection but also opportunities of communication among otherwise isolated bacterial cells.

Moreover, virtually all bacterial species constitutively produce diffusible chemical signals to alter gene expression of others, referred to as quorum sensing. These signal molecules include certain oligopeptides,

N-acyl homoserine lactones (AHLs), and autoinducer-2 (AI-2, furanosyl borate diester) that stimulate synthesis and release of themselves among different cells [78,79]. Quorum sensing implies a response to population density, allowing multiple bacterial cells to adjust their growth and activities accordingly. Moreover, this communication is required for multiple bacteria to synchronize their gene expression so that macroscopic effects can be achieved by these tiny organisms. For instance, quorum sensing plays a central role in the production of bioluminescence and in the biofilm formation [80,81]. Although quorum sensing takes place mostly among members of the same species, it is intriguing to note the existence of interspecies commuquorum sensing. nications via For example. Escherichia coli encodes proteins of the LuxR family for detection of AHLs, a quorum-sensing signal released only by other microbes [82]. Moreover, AI-2 is a universal signal mediating interspecies quorum sensing because it is secreted and perceived by a great variety of bacteria [79]. Thus, a bacterial community is highly dynamic and communicative, not only comprising diverse species but also adjusting their activities, sending and receiving signals constantly.

Microbiome influences host metabolism and longevity

Gut microbiome, consisting mainly of bacteria, inhabits the digestive track of the host. An ever-growing body of evidences suggests that the composition and metabolism of the gut microbiome influence metabolic health and aging. First of all, gut bacteria generate metabolic products that directly act on the host. They are responsible for the synthesis of various vitamins to maintain metabolic health of the host [83]. Gut bacteria can also break down many carbohydrates that are otherwise nondigestible, and ferment them into short-chain fatty acids as nutrients to the host, which regulate fatty acid, cholesterol, and glucose metabolism [84,85]. Without them, germ-free mice are significantly leaner than normal mice [86-88]. In addition, primary bile acids are processed by gut bacteria into secondary bile acids that feed back to the liver and influence lipogenesis, gluconeogenesis, and insulin sensitivity in the host [89–92]. Moreover, changes in the host's diet, lifestyle, and medication with antibiotics and other drugs dramatically influence transcriptomic, proteomic, and biochemical profiles of gut bacteria [93-96]. These bacterial changes in turn modulate the susceptibility of the host to environmental insults, dietary intervention, and diseases.

Human gut microbiome is dominated by two major bacterial phyla, Firmicutes, and Bacteroidetes [97]. It is intriguing that both diet- and genetic-induced obesity are associated with a reduction in Bacteroidetes and a proportional increase in Firmicutes [98–101]. These obese animals also display a less diverse microbiome [102,103]. Conversely, the phylogenetic composition of gut bacteria can determine the onset and progression of obesity, through modulating the efficiency of energy uptake [100,104] and inflammatory responses in the host [105–111]. On the other hand, dietary inputs not only reshape the phylogenetic structure of gut microbiome but also reprogram gene expression and metabolite production in these bacteria [96,112]. The regulatory loop among the environment, gut microbiome and the host is dynamic and complex, which can be mediated by different signaling mechanisms [113,114].

Similarly, changes in gut microbiome are also associated with the aging process in the host [115]. The reduction in bacterial number and diversity especially that of *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Bacteroides* spp. in the elderly has been reported [116-118]. Furthermore, the microbiome composition is significantly correlated with increased frailty and age-related chronic conditions among old individuals, and diet-driven microbiome alterations have been shown to improve health in elderly people [119]. Studies in model systems show that the growth, proliferation and diversity of gut bacteria are good predictors of longevity [120,121]. Moreover, transplantation of gut microbiome from young to middle-aged killifish prolongs lifespan and healthspan [122]. Not only different bacterial species but also individual bacterial genes are correlated with the longevity regulation in the host. Specific bacterial mutants have been shown to play a causative role in prolonging host lifespan and healthspan [123–126]. Interestingly, some of these beneficial effects are directly linked to specific bacterial metabolites [123-126]. Therefore, an active chemical communication between gut bacteria and the host is essential for organism fitness during aging.

Evidences emerge for a communication between microbiome and mitochondria

Because of their critical roles in determining metabolic health and longevity, both mitochondria and gut bacteria become hot targets in biological and medical investigations. However, studying them with the cellular resolution at the organism level is highly challenging, especially in mammalian models. First, fixation of mammalian tissues for microscopic observations undoubtedly interferes with the regulation of mitochondrial dynamics, and may give limited or misleading results. Secondly, mammalian microbiome is complex,

The FEBS Journal (2018) © 2018 Federation of European Biochemical Societies

composed of 300–1000 bacterial species with a total number even exceeding that of host cells [127–129]. Plus tremendous individual compositional variations [130,131], isolating any bacterial components for causative or mechanistic analyses would be extremely hard.

The nematode C. elegans has been extensively used as a model organism. These soil-dwelling worms exhibit many merits for laboratory manipulations, including their short life time, low price in rearing, known genome as well as the availability of numerous mutants and transgenic lines. Most importantly, some innate features of C. elegans make them ideal for studying mitochondrial and bacterial activities that influence host physiology. First of all, their bodies are colorless and transparent. After fluorescently labeling of mitochondrial-targeting sequences, mitochondrial dynamics can be directly viewed in vivo with high resolution at the organism level [26]. With a rapid technical progress on lattice light-sheet microscopy [132], an even more detailed real-time super-resolution monitoring of mitochondrial structure and dynamics becomes feasible using C. elegans [133].

Furthermore, the gut of C. elegans is naturally colonized by a complex community of commensal bacteria whose composition is influenced but distant from the environment [134,135]. This relationship between the host and microbiome resembles that in humans, implicating a valid model. More remarkably, a strain of C. elegans has been tamed in laboratories [115]. This strain is reared monoxenically, feeding on and accommodating in the gut a single bacterial clone, which, therefore, carries an accurately defined microbiome. At the same time of enjoying these merits, one should also take necessary cautions to interpret conclusions obtained using C. elegans, partly because the typical bacteria used in laboratories, for example, E. coli OP50, only colonize aging individuals and this colonization is associated with bacterial pathogenesis [120,121,136]. However, with the simple manipulation of gut bacteria and some proved conservation [137], C. elegans still represents an ideal model for studies of microbiome-host interaction. Evidently, utilizing the system of C. elegans and their symbiotic bacteria, a lot of insights on microbe-host interactions have been gained [137,138], especially the microbial contributions to metabolism and aging [139–142].

Using *C. elegans*, our recent studies bring light into the interaction between bacteria and mitochondrial dynamics in the gut of the host. These communications are mediated by chemical signals from intestinal bacteria (Fig. 2). In one case, a cluster of bacterial metabolites including betaine, methionine, and homocysteine initiate a signaling cascade that triggers the nuclear receptor 5A nuclear receptor and activates hedgehog signaling to regulate mitochondrial fission-fusion balance in intestinal cells. This bacteria-mitochondria communication ultimately regulates fat storage homeostasis in the host [112]. In another, a slime polysaccharide named colanic acid, a major biofilm component of E. coli, is secreted from intestinal bacteria. After entering the host cytoplasm via endocytosis, colanic acid increases the fragmentation of intestinal mitochondria in a DRP-1-dependent fashion, as well as enhances UPR^{mt} mediated by the transcription factor ATFS-1 in response to mitochondrial stress. These signaling effects of bacterial colanic acid on mitochondrial dynamics and UPR^{mt} consequently lead to lifespan extension and protection against age-associated pathologies, like germline tumor progression and toxic amyloid-beta accumulation, in the host [123]. Together, these results consistently show that mitochondria undergo chemical communication with bacteria, a process modulating metabolic and senescent states of eukaryotic cells.

This view can also be strengthened by our unbiased search for bacterial factors involved in the regulation of host longevity [123]. This genome-wide analysis implicates YceO and LsrC, two bacterial proteins important for biofilm formation and AI-2 transport, and several proteins controlling the level of colanic acid [123]. AI-2 is a key quorum-sensing molecule that has been recorded to interact with eukaryotic cells [143,144], although no mechanisms have been specified. As undergoing exclusive intracellular lives, mitochondria likely preserve their capabilities of, and possibly are responsible for, perceiving quorum-sensing signals. This view gains recent supports from the fact that a signaling molecule secreted by Pseudomonas aeruginosa accumulates within mitochondria and regulates cellular functions. In this bacteria-mitochondria interaction, P. aeruginosa secrets N-(3-oxo-dodecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (3OC12), which is hydrolyzed by lactonase paraoxonase 2 in mitochondria to attenuate its toxicity. In the hydrolyzed form, 3OC12 acid stays in the mitochondria and mediates calcium release and stress signaling through intracellular acidification [145]. Collectively, based on these new discoveries, it is reasonable to hypothesize that the microbiome may affect the host by directly interacting with mitochondria through bacterial metabolites and specific signaling mechanisms.

Future perspectives

With our findings and other sporadic evidences, a model that merges functionalities of mitochondria and microbiome is not only merely plausible but also probable. Within the context of our model, we propose that the mitochondria are the mediators for this cross-domain chemical dialogue. This is not to deny the existence of indirect communications from bacteria to mitochondria, such as by regulating nuclear gene expression. But following the Law of Parsimony, a conserved and widespread direct interaction is most likely. A systematic search for signaling molecules sent by gut microbiome, transporting mechanisms across the plasma membrane, and receptors on mitochondrial outer membrane would be essential to confirm this communication.

This model may help us understand many aspects of physiological and pathological regulations by host-microbiome interactions. For example, gut microbiome has been indicated to play vital roles in a number of neurological disorders [146]. Clearly, these bacterial effects on neurons have to occur via cell nonautonomous mechanisms. Other than a way of indiscriminately releasing certain molecules into body fluid, we propose that the dialogue between bacteria and mitochondria likely is the underlying mode of action. On one hand, patients with mutations in several mitochondrial dynamic regulators display neurological symptoms [147-150]; likewise, neurodegeneration and many other diseases have been linked to dysregulation of mitochondrial dynamics [151]. On the other hand, mitochondria within different cells have been shown to communicate with each other, resulting in a cell nonautonomous effect [152]. Hence, the proposed crosstalk exhibits high explanatory power for the function of microbiome in modulating systemic responses of the host, as exampled by metabolism and aging.

There have been quite a few biological phenomena following a 'non-Darwinian' pattern lacking mechanistic explanations. Interesting to note, mitochondria are inherited maternally, and the founding colonies of microbiome in newborns are also from a maternal source [153]. It would be intriguing to hypothesize that the microbiome-mitochondria axis also plays a role in mediating those maternal effects. By many means, a chemical dialogue across the cell membrane, orchestrated by mitochondria and symbiotic bacteria, is promising to broaden our views on biological sciences. We anticipate an era where the mitochondria-microbiome communication is fully characterized, which would shed great light on improving metabolic health and healthy aging.

Acknowledgements

We thank two anonymous reviewers for their comments and suggestions on writing. The authors of this review have been supported by HHMI (MCW), March of Dimes Foundation (MCW), Welch Foundation (MCW), and NIH (R01AG045183, DP1DK113644, R01AT009050; MCW).

References

- 1 Embley TM & Martin W (2006) Eukaryotic evolution, changes and challenges. *Nature* **440**, 623–630.
- 2 Sagan L (1967) On the origin of mitosing cells. J Theor Biol 14, 255–274.
- 3 Martin W & Müller M (1998) The hydrogen hypothesis for the first eukaryote. *Nature* **392**, 37–41.
- 4 Mileykovskaya E & Dowhan W (2009) Cardiolipin membrane domains in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1788**, 2084–2091.
- 5 Zeth K & Thein M (2010) Porins in prokaryotes and eukaryotes: common themes and variations. *Biochem J* 431, 13–22.
- 6 Andersson SG, Zomorodipour A, Andersson JO, Sicheritz-Pontén T, Alsmark UC, Podowski RM, Näslund AK, Eriksson AS, Winkler HH & Kurland CG (1998) The genome sequence of *Rickettsia prowazekii* and the origin of mitochondria. *Nature* **396**, 133–140.
- 7 Manuell AL, Quispe J & Mayfield SP (2007) Structure of the chloroplast ribosome: novel domains for translation regulation. *PLoS Biol* 5, e209.
- 8 Ciccarelli FD, Doerks T, von Mering C, Creevey CJ, Snel B & Bork P (2006) Toward automatic reconstruction of a highly resolved tree of life. *Science* **311**, 1283–1287.
- 9 Ferla MP, Thrash JC, Giovannoni SJ & Patrick WM (2013) New rRNA gene-based phylogenies of the Alphaproteobacteria provide perspective on major groups, mitochondrial ancestry and phylogenetic instability. *PLoS ONE* 8, e83383.
- 10 Sears CL (2005) A dynamic partnership: celebrating our gut flora. *Anaerobe* **11**, 247–251.
- 11 De La Cochetière MF, Durand T, Lepage P, Bourreille A, Galmiche JP & Doré J (2005) Resilience of the dominant human fecal microbiota upon short-course antibiotic challenge. *J Clin Microbiol* **43**, 5588–5592.
- 12 Wang H, Lee I-S, Braun C & Enck P (2016) Effect of probiotics on central nervous system functions in animals and humans: a systematic review. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 22, 589–605.
- 13 Archibald JM (2015) Endosymbiosis and eukaryotic cell evolution. *Curr Biol* 25, R911–R921.
- 14 Martin WF, Garg S & Zimorski V (2015) Endosymbiotic theories for eukaryote origin. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 370, 20140330.
- 15 Quirós PM, Mottis A & Auwerx J (2016) Mitonuclear communication in homeostasis and stress. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 17, 213–226.
- 16 Tatsuta T & Langer T (2009) AAA proteases in mitochondria: diverse functions of membrane-bound proteolytic machines. *Res Microbiol* 160, 711–717.

- 17 Zhao Q, Wang J, Levichkin IV, Stasinopoulos S, Ryan MT & Hoogenraad NJ (2002) A mitochondrial specific stress response in mammalian cells. *EMBO J* 21, 4411– 4419.
- 18 Nargund AM, Pellegrino MW, Fiorese CJ, Baker BM & Haynes CM (2012) Mitochondrial import efficiency of ATFS-1 regulates mitochondrial UPR activation. *Science* 337, 587–590.
- 19 Pellegrino MW, Nargund AM, Kirienko NV, Gillis R, Fiorese CJ & Haynes CM (2014) Mitochondrial UPRregulated innate immunity provides resistance to pathogen infection. *Nature* 516, 414–417.
- 20 Runkel ED, Baumeister R & Schulze E (2014) Mitochondrial stress: balancing friend and foe. *Exp Gerontol* 56, 194–201.
- 21 Lee S-J, Hwang AB & Kenyon C (2010) Inhibition of respiration extends *C. elegans* life span via reactive oxygen species that increase HIF-1 activity. *Curr Biol* 20, 2131–2136.
- 22 Riera CE & Dillin A (2015) Tipping the metabolic scales towards increased longevity in mammals. *Nat Cell Biol* **17**, 196–203.
- 23 Hales KG & Fuller MT (1997) Developmentally regulated mitochondrial fusion mediated by a conserved, novel, predicted GTPase. *Cell* 90, 121–129.
- 24 Garrido N, Griparic L, Jokitalo E, Wartiovaara J, van der Bliek AM & Spelbrink JN (2003) Composition and dynamics of human mitochondrial nucleoids. *Mol Biol Cell* 14, 1583–1596.
- 25 Legros F, Malka F, Frachon P, Lombès A & Rojo M (2004) Organization and dynamics of human mitochondrial DNA. J Cell Sci 117, 2653–2662.
- 26 Labrousse AM, Zappaterra MD, Rube DA & van der Bliek AM (1999) C. elegans dynamin-related protein DRP-1 controls severing of the mitochondrial outer membrane. Mol Cell 4, 815–826.
- 27 Mozdy AD, McCaffery JM & Shaw JM (2000) Dnm1p GTPase-mediated mitochondrial fission is a multi-step process requiring the novel integral membrane component Fis1p. J Cell Biol 151, 367–380.
- 28 Chen H, Detmer SA, Ewald AJ, Griffin EE, Fraser SE & Chan DC (2003) Mitofusins Mfn1 and Mfn2 coordinately regulate mitochondrial fusion and are essential for embryonic development. *J Cell Biol* 160, 189–200.
- 29 Ishihara N, Eura Y & Mihara K (2004) Mitofusin 1 and 2 play distinct roles in mitochondrial fusion reactions via GTPase activity. *J Cell Sci* 117, 6535–6546.
- 30 Pitts KR, Yoon Y, Krueger EW & McNiven MA (1999) The dynamin-like protein DLP1 is essential for normal distribution and morphology of the endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria in mammalian cells. *Mol Biol Cell* **10**, 4403–4417.
- 31 Smirnova E, Griparic L, Shurland DL & van der Bliek AM (2001) Dynamin-related protein Drp1 is required

- 32 Nakada K, Inoue K, Ono T, Isobe K, Ogura A, Goto YI, Nonaka I & Hayashi JI (2001) Inter-mitochondrial complementation: mitochondria-specific system preventing mice from expression of disease phenotypes by mutant mtDNA. *Nat Med* 7, 934–940.
- 33 Chen H, Chomyn A & Chan DC (2005) Disruption of fusion results in mitochondrial heterogeneity and dysfunction. J Biol Chem 280, 26185–26192.
- 34 Mao K, Wang K, Liu X & Klionsky DJ (2013) The scaffold protein Atg11 recruits fission machinery to drive selective mitochondria degradation by autophagy. *Dev Cell* 26, 9–18.
- 35 Rambold AS, Kostelecky B, Elia N & Lippincott-Schwartz J (2011) Tubular network formation protects mitochondria from autophagosomal degradation during nutrient starvation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108, 10190–10195.
- 36 Twig G, Elorza A, Molina AJA, Mohamed H, Wikstrom JD, Walzer G, Stiles L, Haigh SE, Katz S, Las G et al. (2008) Fission and selective fusion govern mitochondrial segregation and elimination by autophagy. EMBO J 27, 433–446.
- 37 Twig G & Shirihai OS (2011) The interplay between mitochondrial dynamics and mitophagy. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 14, 1939–1951.
- 38 Picard M & Turnbull DM (2013) Linking the metabolic state and mitochondrial DNA in chronic disease, health, and aging. *Diabetes* 62, 672–678.
- 39 Gomes LC & Scorrano L (2011) Mitochondrial elongation during autophagy: a stereotypical response to survive in difficult times. *Autophagy* 7, 1251–1253.
- 40 Rambold AS, Kostelecky B & Lippincott-Schwartz J (2011) Together we are stronger: fusion protects mitochondria from autophagosomal degradation. *Autophagy* 7, 1568–1569.
- 41 Lee J-Y, Kapur M, Li M, Choi M-C, Choi S, Kim H-J, Kim I, Lee E, Taylor JP & Yao T-P (2014) MFN1 deacetylation activates adaptive mitochondrial fusion and protects metabolically challenged mitochondria. J Cell Sci 127, 4954–4963.
- 42 Yu T, Robotham JL & Yoon Y (2006) Increased production of reactive oxygen species in hyperglycemic conditions requires dynamic change of mitochondrial morphology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103, 2653–2658.
- 43 Jheng H-F, Tsai P-J, Guo S-M, Kuo L-H, Chang C-S, Su I-J, Chang C-R & Tsai Y-S (2012) Mitochondrial fission contributes to mitochondrial dysfunction and insulin resistance in skeletal muscle. *Mol Cell Biol* 32, 309–319.
- 44 Liesa M & Shirihai OS (2013) Mitochondrial dynamics in the regulation of nutrient utilization and energy expenditure. *Cell Metab* 17, 491–506.

- 45 Liu R, Jin P, Yu L, Wang Y, Han L, Shi T & Li X (2014) Impaired mitochondrial dynamics and bioenergetics in diabetic skeletal muscle. *PLoS ONE* 9, e92810.
- 46 Putti R, Sica R, Migliaccio V & Lionetti L (2015) Diet impact on mitochondrial bioenergetics and dynamics. *Front Physiol* 6, 109.
- 47 Wai T & Langer T (2016) Mitochondrial dynamics and metabolic regulation. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 27, 105–117.
- 48 Seo AY, Joseph A-M, Dutta D, Hwang JCY, Aris JP & Leeuwenburgh C (2010) New insights into the role of mitochondria in aging: mitochondrial dynamics and more. J Cell Sci 123, 2533–2542.
- 49 Ikeda Y, Sciarretta S, Nagarajan N, Rubattu S, Volpe M, Frati G & Sadoshima J (2014) New insights into the role of mitochondrial dynamics and autophagy during oxidative stress and aging in the heart. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2014, 210934.
- 50 Regmi SG, Rolland SG & Conradt B (2014) Agedependent changes in mitochondrial morphology and volume are not predictors of lifespan. *Aging* 6, 118–130.
- 51 Rana A, Oliveira MP, Khamoui AV, Aparicio R, Rera M, Rossiter HB & Walker DW (2017) Promoting Drp1-mediated mitochondrial fission in midlife prolongs healthy lifespan of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nat Commun* 8, 448.
- 52 Weir HJ, Yao P, Huynh FK, Escoubas CC, Goncalves RL, Burkewitz K, Laboy R, Hirschey MD & Mair WB (2017) Dietary restriction and AMPK increase lifespan via mitochondrial network and peroxisome remodeling. *Cell Metab* 26, 884–896.e5.
- 53 Chen H & Chan DC (2009) Mitochondrial dynamicsfusion, fission, movement, and mitophagy-in neurodegenerative diseases. *Hum Mol Genet* 18, R169– R176.
- 54 Skulachev VP (2001) The programmed death phenomena, aging, and the Samurai law of biology. *Exp Gerontol* **36**, 995–1024.
- 55 Reznick RM, Zong H, Li J, Morino K, Moore IK, Yu HJ, Liu Z-X, Dong J, Mustard KJ, Hawley SA *et al.* (2007) Aging-associated reductions in AMP-activated protein kinase activity and mitochondrial biogenesis. *Cell Metab* 5, 151–156.
- 56 Murakoshi M, Osamura Y & Watanabe K (1985) Mitochondrial alterations in aged rat adrenal cortical cells. *Tokai J Exp Clin Med* 10, 531–536.
- 57 Tandler B & Hoppel CL (1986) Studies on giant mitochondria. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **488**, 65–81.
- 58 Terman A & Brunk UT (2005) The aging myocardium: roles of mitochondrial damage and lysosomal degradation. *Heart Lung Circ* 14, 107–114.
- 59 Lee S, Jeong S-Y, Lim W-C, Kim S, Park Y-Y, Sun X, Youle RJ & Cho H (2007) Mitochondrial fission and fusion mediators, hFis1 and OPA1, modulate cellular senescence. *J Biol Chem* 282, 22977–22983.

- 60 Yoon Y-S, Yoon D-S, Lim IK, Yoon S-H, Chung H-Y, Rojo M, Malka F, Jou M-J, Martinou J-C & Yoon G (2006) Formation of elongated giant mitochondria in DFO-induced cellular senescence: involvement of enhanced fusion process through modulation of Fis1. J Cell Physiol 209, 468–480.
- 61 Sebastián D, Hernández-Alvarez MI, Segalés J, Sorianello E, Muñoz JP, Sala D, Waget A, Liesa M, Paz JC, Gopalacharyulu P *et al.* (2012) Mitofusin 2 (Mfn2) links mitochondrial and endoplasmic reticulum function with insulin signaling and is essential for normal glucose homeostasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**, 5523–5528.
- 62 Wang L, Ishihara T, Ibayashi Y, Tatsushima K, Setoyama D, Hanada Y, Takeichi Y, Sakamoto S, Yokota S, Mihara K *et al.* (2015) Disruption of mitochondrial fission in the liver protects mice from diet-induced obesity and metabolic deterioration. *Diabetologia* 58, 2371–2380.
- 63 Sohal RS, Ku HH, Agarwal S, Forster MJ & Lal H (1994) Oxidative damage, mitochondrial oxidant generation and antioxidant defenses during aging and in response to food restriction in the mouse. *Mech Ageing Dev* 74, 121–133.
- 64 Pan Y & Shadel GS (2009) Extension of chronological life span by reduced TOR signaling requires downregulation of Sch9p and involves increased mitochondrial OXPHOS complex density. *Aging* 1, 131–145.
- 65 Yang CC, Chen D, Lee SS & Walter L (2011) The dynamin-related protein DRP-1 and the insulin signaling pathway cooperate to modulate *Caenorhabditis elegans* longevity. *Aging Cell* **10**, 724–728.
- 66 Weber TA & Reichert AS (2010) Impaired quality control of mitochondria: aging from a new perspective. *Exp Gerontol* **45**, 503–511.
- 67 Lee SS, Lee RYN, Fraser AG, Kamath RS, Ahringer J & Ruvkun G (2003) A systematic RNAi screen identifies a critical role for mitochondria in *C. elegans* longevity. *Nat Genet* **33**, 40–48.
- 68 Burkewitz K, Zhang Y & Mair WB (2014) AMPK at the nexus of energetics and aging. *Cell Metab* 20, 10–25.
- 69 Chaudhari SN & Kipreos ET (2017) Increased mitochondrial fusion allows the survival of older animals in diverse *C. elegans* longevity pathways. *Nat Commun* 8, 182.
- 70 McQuibban GA, Lee JR, Zheng L, Juusola M & Freeman M (2006) Normal mitochondrial dynamics requires rhomboid-7 and affects Drosophila lifespan and neuronal function. *Curr Biol* 16, 982–989.
- 71 Rana A, Rera M & Walker DW (2013) Parkin overexpression during aging reduces proteotoxicity, alters mitochondrial dynamics, and extends lifespan. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110**, 8638–8643.

- 72 Sebastián D, Palacín M & Zorzano A (2017) Mitochondrial dynamics: coupling mitochondrial fitness with healthy aging. *Trends Mol Med* 23, 201–215.
- 73 Sozinova O, Jiang Y, Kaiser D & Alber M (2005) A three-dimensional model of myxobacterial aggregation by contact-mediated interactions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA 102, 11308–11312.
- 74 Hall-Stoodley L, Costerton JW & Stoodley P (2004) Bacterial biofilms: from the natural environment to infectious diseases. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2, 95–108.
- 75 Karatan E & Watnick P (2009) Signals, regulatory networks, and materials that build and break bacterial biofilms. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **73**, 310–347.
- 76 An D & Parsek MR (2007) The promise and peril of transcriptional profiling in biofilm communities. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **10**, 292–296.
- 77 Molin S & Tolker-Nielsen T (2003) Gene transfer occurs with enhanced efficiency in biofilms and induces enhanced stabilisation of the biofilm structure. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 14, 255–261.
- 78 Day WA & Maurelli AT (2001) Shigella flexneri LuxS quorum-sensing system modulates virB expression but is not essential for virulence. *Infect Immun* 69, 15–23.
- 79 Miller MB & Bassler BL (2001) Quorum sensing in bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol 55, 165–199.
- 80 Nealson KH, Platt T & Hastings JW (1970) Cellular control of the synthesis and activity of the bacterial luminescent system. J Bacteriol 104, 313–322.
- 81 Herzberg M, Kaye IK, Peti W & Wood TK (2006) YdgG (TqsA) controls biofilm formation in *Escherichia coli* K-12 through autoinducer 2 transport. *J Bacteriol* 188, 587–598.
- 82 Michael B, Smith JN, Swift S, Heffron F & Ahmer BM (2001) SdiA of *Salmonella enterica* is a LuxR homolog that detects mixed microbial communities. *J Bacteriol* 183, 5733–5742.
- 83 O'Hara AM & Shanahan F (2006) The gut flora as a forgotten organ. *EMBO Rep* 7, 688–693.
- 84 Bergman EN (1990) Energy contributions of volatile fatty acids from the gastrointestinal tract in various species. *Physiol Rev* 70, 567–590.
- 85 den Besten G, van Eunen K, Groen AK, Venema K, Reijngoud D-J & Bakker BM (2013) The role of shortchain fatty acids in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota, and host energy metabolism. *J Lipid Res* 54, 2325–2340.
- 86 Rabot S, Membrez M, Bruneau A, Gérard P, Harach T, Moser M, Raymond F, Mansourian R & Chou CJ (2010) Germ-free C57BL/6J mice are resistant to high-fat-dietinduced insulin resistance and have altered cholesterol metabolism. *FASEB. FASEB J* 24, 4948–4959.
- 87 Bäckhed F, Manchester JK, Semenkovich CF & Gordon JI (2007) Mechanisms underlying the

- 88 Woodard GA, Encarnacion B, Downey JR, Peraza J, Chong K, Hernandez-Boussard T & Morton JM (2009) Probiotics improve outcomes after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery: a prospective randomized trial. *J Gastrointest Surg* 13, 1198–1204.
- 89 de Aguiar Vallim TQ, Tarling EJ & Edwards PA (2013) Pleiotropic roles of bile acids in metabolism. *Cell Metab* 17, 657–669.
- 90 Ma K, Saha PK, Chan L & Moore DD (2006) Farnesoid X receptor is essential for normal glucose homeostasis. J Clin Invest 116, 1102–1109.
- 91 Pols TWH, Noriega LG, Nomura M, Auwerx J & Schoonjans K (2011) The bile acid membrane receptor TGR5 as an emerging target in metabolism and inflammation. J Hepatol 54, 1263–1272.
- 92 Sayin SI, Wahlström A, Felin J, Jäntti S, Marschall H-U, Bamberg K, Angelin B, Hyötyläinen T, Orešič M & Bäckhed F (2013) Gut microbiota regulates bile acid metabolism by reducing the levels of tauro-betamuricholic acid, a naturally occurring FXR antagonist. *Cell Metab* 17, 225–235.
- 93 Benítez-Páez A, Belda-Ferre P, Simón-Soro A & Mira A (2014) Microbiota diversity and gene expression dynamics in human oral biofilms. *BMC Genom* 15, 311.
- 94 Guo MS & Gross CA (2014) Stress-induced remodeling of the bacterial proteome. *Curr Biol* 24, R424–R434.
- 95 Liebeke M & Lalk M (2014) Staphylococcus aureus metabolic response to changing environmental conditions - a metabolomics perspective. *Int J Med Microbiol* 304, 222–229.
- 96 Turnbaugh PJ, Ridaura VK, Faith JJ, Rey FE, Knight R & Gordon JI (2009) The effect of diet on the human gut microbiome: a metagenomic analysis in humanized gnotobiotic mice. *Sci Transl Med* 1, 6ra14.
- 97 Jandhyala SM, Talukdar R, Subramanyam C, Vuyyuru H, Sasikala M & Nageshwar Reddy D (2015) Role of the normal gut microbiota. *World J Gastroenterol* 21, 8787–8803.
- 98 Ley RE, Bäckhed F, Turnbaugh P, Lozupone CA, Knight RD & Gordon JI (2005) Obesity alters gut microbial ecology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102, 11070–11075.
- 99 Zhang C, Zhang M, Pang X, Zhao Y, Wang L & Zhao L (2012) Structural resilience of the gut microbiota in adult mice under high-fat dietary perturbations. *ISME J* 6, 1848–1857.
- 100 Hildebrandt MA, Hoffmann C, Sherrill-Mix SA, Keilbaugh SA, Hamady M, Chen Y-Y, Knight R, Ahima RS, Bushman F & Wu GD (2009) High-fat diet determines the composition of the murine gut microbiome independently of obesity. *Gastroenterology* 137, 1716–1724.e1–2.

- 101 Parks BW, Nam E, Org E, Kostem E, Norheim F, Hui ST, Pan C, Civelek M, Rau CD, Bennett BJ et al. (2013) Genetic control of obesity and gut microbiota composition in response to high-fat, high-sucrose diet in mice. *Cell Metab* 17, 141–152.
- 102 Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T, Cantarel BL, Duncan A, Ley RE, Sogin ML, Jones WJ, Roe BA, Affourtit JP *et al.* (2009) A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. *Nature* 457, 480–484.
- 103 Thaiss CA, Itav S, Rothschild D, Meijer M, Levy M, Moresi C, Dohnalová L, Braverman S, Rozin S, Malitsky S *et al.* (2016) Persistent microbiome alterations modulate the rate of post-dieting weight regain. *Nature*, 540, 544–551.
- 104 Krajmalnik-Brown R, Ilhan Z-E, Kang D-W & DiBaise JK (2012) Effects of gut microbes on nutrient absorption and energy regulation. *Nutr Clin Pract* 27, 201–214.
- 105 Clarke SF, Murphy EF, Nilaweera K, Ross PR, Shanahan F, O'Toole PW & Cotter PD (2012) The gut microbiota and its relationship to diet and obesity: new insights. *Gut Microbes* 3, 186–202.
- 106 Cani PD, Amar J, Iglesias MA, Poggi M, Knauf C, Bastelica D, Neyrinck AM, Fava F, Tuohy KM, Chabo C *et al.* (2007) Metabolic endotoxemia initiates obesity and insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 56, 1761–1772.
- 107 Hotamisligil GS (2006) Inflammation and metabolic disorders. *Nature* 444, 860–867.
- 108 Tagliabue A & Elli M (2013) The role of gut microbiota in human obesity: recent findings and future perspectives. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 23, 160–168.
- 109 Collado MC, Isolauri E, Laitinen K & Salminen S (2008) Distinct composition of gut microbiota during pregnancy in overweight and normal-weight women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 88, 894–899.
- 110 Santacruz A, Collado MC, García-Valdés L, Segura MT, Martín-Lagos JA, Anjos T, Martí-Romero M, Lopez RM, Florido J, Campoy C *et al.* (2010) Gut microbiota composition is associated with body weight, weight gain and biochemical parameters in pregnant women. *Br J Nutr* **104**, 83–92.
- 111 Kalliomäki M, Collado MC, Salminen S & Isolauri E (2008) Early differences in fecal microbiota composition in children may predict overweight. *Am J Clin Nutr* 87, 534–538.
- 112 Lin C-CJ & Wang MC (2017) Microbial metabolites regulate host lipid metabolism through NR5A-Hedgehog signalling. *Nat Cell Biol* 19, 550–557.
- 113 Ussar S, Griffin NW, Bezy O, Fujisaka S, Vienberg S, Softic S, Deng L, Bry L, Gordon JI & Kahn CR (2015) Interactions between gut microbiota, host genetics and diet modulate the predisposition to obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Cell Metab* 22, 516–530.

- 114 Zhang C, Zhang M, Wang S, Han R, Cao Y, Hua W, Mao Y, Zhang X, Pang X, Wei C *et al.* (2010) Interactions between gut microbiota, host genetics and diet relevant to development of metabolic syndromes in mice. *ISME J* 4, 232–241.
- 115 Heintz C & Mair W (2014) You are what you host: microbiome modulation of the aging process. *Cell* 156, 408–411.
- 116 Mitsuoka T, Hayakawa K & Kimura N (1974) [The faecal flora of man. II. The composition of bifidobacterium flora of different age groups (author's transl)]. *Zentralbl Bakteriol Orig A* 226, 469–478.
- 117 Hopkins MJ & Macfarlane GT (2002) Changes in predominant bacterial populations in human faeces with age and with Clostridium difficile infection. J Med Microbiol 51, 448–454.
- 118 Woodmansey EJ, McMurdo MET, Macfarlane GT & Macfarlane S (2004) Comparison of compositions and metabolic activities of fecal microbiotas in young adults and in antibiotic-treated and non-antibiotictreated elderly subjects. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**, 6113–6122.
- 119 Claesson MJ, Jeffery IB, Conde S, Power SE, O'Connor EM, Cusack S, Harris HMB, Coakley M, Lakshminarayanan B, O'Sullivan O et al. (2012) Gut microbiota composition correlates with diet and health in the elderly. *Nature* 488, 178–184.
- 120 Garigan D, Hsu A-L, Fraser AG, Kamath RS, Ahringer J & Kenyon C (2002) Genetic analysis of tissue aging in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: a role for heatshock factor and bacterial proliferation. *Genetics* 161, 1101–1112.
- 121 Portal-Celhay C, Bradley ER & Blaser MJ (2012) Control of intestinal bacterial proliferation in regulation of lifespan in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *BMC Microbiol* 12, 49.
- 122 Smith P, Willemsen D, Popkes M, Metge F, Gandiwa E, Reichard M & Valenzano DR (2017) Regulation of life span by the gut microbiota in the short-lived African turquoise killifish. *ELife* 6, e277014.
- 123 Han B, Sivaramakrishnan P, Lin C-CJ, Neve IAA, He J, Tay LWR, Sowa JN, Sizovs A, Du G, Wang J *et al.* (2017) Microbial genetic composition tunes host longevity. *Cell* 169, 1249–1262.e13.
- 124 Larsen PL & Clarke CF (2002) Extension of life-span in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by a diet lacking coenzyme Q. *Science* 295, 120–123.
- 125 Virk B, Correia G, Dixon DP, Feyst I, Jia J, Oberleitner N, Briggs Z, Hodge E, Edwards R, Ward J et al. (2012) Excessive folate synthesis limits lifespan in the C. elegans: E. coli aging model. BMC Biol 10, 67.
- 126 Virk B, Jia J, Maynard CA, Raimundo A, Lefebvre J, Richards SA, Chetina N, Liang Y, Helliwell N, Cipinska M et al. (2016) Folate acts in E. coli to

accelerate *C. elegans* aging independently of bacterial biosynthesis. *Cell Rep* **14**, 1611–1620.

- 127 Guarner F & Malagelada J-R (2003) Gut flora in health and disease. *Lancet (London, England)* 361, 512–519.
- 128 Sender R, Fuchs S & Milo R (2016) Are we really vastly outnumbered? Revisiting the ratio of bacterial to host cells in humans. *Cell* **164**, 337–340.
- 129 Krych L, Hansen CHF, Hansen AK, van den Berg FWJ & Nielsen DS (2013) Quantitatively different, yet qualitatively alike: a meta-analysis of the mouse core gut microbiome with a view towards the human gut microbiome. *PLoS ONE* 8, e62578.
- 130 Conlon MA & Bird AR (2014) The impact of diet and lifestyle on gut microbiota and human health. *Nutrients* 7, 17–44.
- 131 Lozupone CA, Stombaugh JI, Gordon JI, Jansson JK & Knight R (2012) Diversity, stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota. *Nature* 489, 220–230.
- 132 Huang X, Fan J, Li L, Liu H, Wu R, Wu Y, Wei L, Mao H, Lal A, Xi P et al. (2018) Fast, long-term, super-resolution imaging with Hessian structured illumination microscopy. Nat Biotechnol 36, 451–459.
- 133 Liu T-L, Upadhyayula S, Milkie DE, Singh V, Wang K, Swinburne IA, Mosaliganti KR, Collins ZM, Hiscock TW, Shea J *et al.* (2018) Observing the cell in its native state: imaging subcellular dynamics in multicellular organisms. *Science* **360**, eaaq1392.
- 134 Berg M, Zhou XY & Shapira M (2016) Host-specific functional significance of *Caenorhabditis* gut commensals. *Front Microbiol* 7, 1622.
- 135 Dirksen P, Marsh SA, Braker I, Heitland N, Wagner S, Nakad R, Mader S, Petersen C, Kowallik V, Rosenstiel P *et al.* (2016) The native microbiome of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*: gateway to a new host-microbiome model. *BMC Biol* 14, 38.
- 136 Podshivalova K, Kerr RA & Kenyon C (2017) How a mutation that slows aging can also disproportionately extend end-of-life decrepitude. *Cell Rep* 19, 441–450.
- 137 Cabreiro F & Gems D (2013) Worms need microbes too: microbiota, health and aging in *Caenorhabditis* elegans. EMBO Mol Med 5, 1300–1310.
- 138 Lee W-J & Hase K (2014) Gut microbiota-generated metabolites in animal health and disease. *Nat Chem Biol* 10, 416–424.
- 139 Nguyen TPT & Clarke CF (2012) Folate status of gut microbiome affects *Caenorhabditis elegans* lifespan. *BMC Biol* 10, 66.
- 140 Pang S & Curran SP (2014) Adaptive capacity to bacterial diet modulates aging in *C. elegans. Cell Metab* 19, 221–231.
- 141 Saiki R, Lunceford AL, Bixler T, Dang P, Lee W, Furukawa S, Larsen PL & Clarke CF (2008) Altered bacterial metabolism, not coenzyme Q content, is

responsible for the lifespan extension in *Caenorhabditis* elegans fed an *Escherichia coli* diet lacking coenzyme Q. Aging Cell 7, 291–304.

- 142 Brooks KK, Liang B & Watts JL (2009) The influence of bacterial diet on fat storage in *C. elegans. PLoS* ONE 4, e7545.
- 143 Wynendaele E, Verbeke F, D'Hondt M, Hendrix A, Van De Wiele C, Burvenich C, Peremans K, De Wever O, Bracke M & De Spiegeleer B (2015) Crosstalk between the microbiome and cancer cells by quorum sensing peptides. *Peptides* 64, 40–48.
- 144 Götz-Rösch C, Sieper T, Fekete A, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Hartmann A & Schröder P (2015) Influence of bacterial N-acyl-homoserine lactones on growth parameters, pigments, antioxidative capacities and the xenobiotic phase II detoxification enzymes in barley and yam bean. *Front Plant Sci* 6, 205.
- 145 Horke S, Xiao J, Schütz E-M, Kramer GL, Wilgenbus P, Witte I, Selbach M & Teiber JF (2015) Novel paraoxonase 2-dependent mechanism mediating the biological effects of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-sensing molecule N-(3-Oxo-Dodecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone. *Infect Immun* 83, 3369–3380.
- 146 Wang Y & Kasper LH (2014) The role of microbiome in central nervous system disorders. *Brain Behav Immun* 38, 1–12.
- 147 Waterham HR, Koster J, van Roermund CWT, Mooyer PAW, Wanders RJA & Leonard JV (2007) A

lethal defect of mitochondrial and peroxisomal fission. *N Engl J Med* **356**, 1736–1741.

- 148 Delettre C, Lenaers G, Griffoin JM, Gigarel N, Lorenzo C, Belenguer P, Pelloquin L, Grosgeorge J, Turc-Carel C, Perret E *et al.* (2000) Nuclear gene OPA1, encoding a mitochondrial dynamin-related protein, is mutated in dominant optic atrophy. *Nat Genet* 26, 207–210.
- 149 Abrams AJ, Hufnagel RB, Rebelo A, Zanna C, Patel N, Gonzalez MA, Campeanu IJ, Griffin LB, Groenewald S, Strickland AV *et al.* (2015) Mutations in SLC25A46, encoding a UGO1-like protein, cause an optic atrophy spectrum disorder. *Nat Genet* 47, 926–932.
- 150 Züchner S, Mersiyanova IV, Muglia M, Bissar-Tadmouri N, Rochelle J, Dadali EL, Zappia M, Nelis E, Patitucci A, Senderek J *et al.* (2004) Mutations in the mitochondrial GTPase mitofusin 2 cause Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy type 2A. *Nat Genet* **36**, 449–451.
- 151 Wada J & Nakatsuka A (2016) Mitochondrial dynamics and mitochondrial dysfunction in diabetes. *Acta Med Okayama* 70, 151–158.
- 152 Durieux J, Wolff S & Dillin A (2011) The cell-nonautonomous nature of electron transport chainmediated longevity. *Cell* 144, 79–91.
- 153 Langhendries J-P (2006) Early bacterial colonisation of the intestine: why it matters? Arch Pediatr 13, 1526–1534.